



Problems With Access to Health Care





Table 36. Percentage of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care
by Type of Access Problem, Utah Residents, 2004

	Survey Estimates of Persons by Type of Access Problem			
	Percentage of Persons With Each Type of Access Problem ¹			Number of Persons With Each Type of Access Problem ²
	Confidence Bounds			
Access Problem	Lower	Upper		
Ins Company Does Not Cover Service ³	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400
Could Not Find Services in the Area	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200
Could Not Afford the Services	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500
Concerned With Residency Status	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000
Could Not Afford Prescription	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100
Total, All Utahns	100.0%			2,469,200

1 Asymmetric confidence bounds were calculated using the logit transformation.

2 Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

3 Estimate for this row based on insured Utahns only. All others based on entire Utah population.

Note: Because individuals could choose more than one reason, figures sum to greater than 100%.

- The most common problem with access to medical care was inability to afford services (13.3%).
- Regardless of insurance coverage status, 8.5% of all Utahns (211,100), were not able to afford prescription medication.
- The estimate for “insurance company does not cover service” is only for the insured population. All other estimates on this page are for the entire Utah population.

Problems With Access to Care



Table 37. Percentage of Insured Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because Insurance Company Does Not Cover the Service
by Sex, Age Group, Local Health District, Annual Household Income, and Health Status, Utah Insured Residents, 2004

Demographic Subgroup	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Insured Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because Insurance Company Does Not Cover the Service				
	Percentage Distribution	Number of Insured Persons ¹	Percentage of Insured Persons ²		Confidence Bounds	Number of Insured Persons ^{3,4}	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup ⁴
			Lower	Upper			
2004 Utah Insured Population	100.0%	2,217,800	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400	100.0%
Sex							
Male	50.2%	1,114,000	6.3%	5.3%	7.4%	70,000	39.7%
Female	49.8%	1,103,800	9.6%	8.5%	10.9%	106,500	60.3%
Total, All Insured Utahns	100.0%	2,217,800	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400	100.0%
Age Group							
Under 1 Year Old	2.2%	48,900	3.2%	1.1%	8.7%	1,600	0.9%
1 to 5	9.9%	219,200	2.4%	1.3%	4.5%	5,300	3.0%
6 to 18	21.4%	475,600	3.7%	2.7%	5.1%	17,600	9.9%
19 to 26	13.8%	306,200	9.4%	7.0%	12.5%	28,700	16.2%
27 to 34	11.9%	264,500	11.1%	8.6%	14.2%	29,300	16.5%
35 to 49	18.2%	404,700	12.9%	10.7%	15.5%	52,300	29.5%
50 to 64	13.1%	291,400	9.3%	7.4%	11.7%	27,100	15.3%
65 and Over	9.3%	207,000	7.5%	5.5%	10.3%	15,600	8.8%
Total, All Insured Utahns	100.0%	2,217,800	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400	100.0%
Local Health District							
Bear River	6.0%	132,300	7.9%	5.6%	11.1%	10,500	5.9%
Central	2.6%	57,900	7.4%	5.0%	10.9%	4,300	2.4%
Davis	11.4%	253,000	5.9%	4.0%	8.6%	14,800	8.4%
Salt Lake	38.6%	855,700	8.2%	6.6%	10.3%	70,600	40.0%
Southeastern	2.1%	45,500	14.1%	10.2%	19.3%	6,400	3.6%
Southwest	6.7%	149,700	11.6%	8.3%	15.9%	17,300	9.8%
Summit	1.4%	30,900	5.7%	3.7%	8.7%	1,800	1.0%
Tooele	2.1%	47,000	10.0%	7.0%	14.1%	4,700	2.7%
TriCounty	1.7%	37,300	10.1%	7.4%	13.6%	3,800	2.2%
Utah County	17.5%	387,500	7.7%	5.7%	10.4%	29,900	16.9%
Wasatch	0.8%	17,600	12.9%	8.7%	18.7%	2,300	1.3%
Weber-Morgan	9.2%	203,100	5.0%	2.9%	8.4%	10,100	5.7%
Total, All Insured Utahns	100.0%	2,217,800	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400	100.0%
Annual Household Income							
Under \$20,000	8.4%	187,300	17.5%	13.9%	21.8%	32,800	18.1%
\$20,000 to <\$45,000	27.0%	599,700	11.3%	9.0%	14.1%	67,600	37.2%
\$45,000 to <\$65,000	24.0%	531,400	6.9%	5.3%	9.0%	36,700	20.2%
\$65,000 and Over	40.6%	899,400	5.0%	3.8%	6.4%	44,500	24.5%
Total, All Insured Utahns	100.0%	2,217,800	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400	100.0%
Health Status							
Fair/Poor	8.9%	197,100	17.1%	14.0%	20.8%	33,800	19.1%
Good/Excellent	91.1%	2,020,700	7.1%	6.2%	8.1%	143,300	80.9%
Total, All Insured Utahns	100.0%	2,217,800	8.0%	7.1%	9.0%	176,400	100.0%

1 Population estimates based on the 2004 UHSS and rounded to the nearest 100 persons.


2 Asymmetric confidence bounds were calculated using the logit transformation.

3 Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

4 Figures in these columns may not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.



Figure 38.1 Access Problem Due to Inability to Find Services in Area by Local Health District, Utah, 2004



Source: 2004 Utah Health Status Survey

*** Not enough data cases to provide a precise estimate

- Persons in Southeastern Utah health district were most likely to report problems finding services in their area (10.8%), followed by persons in Tooele (8.7%) and Central Utah (7.6%) health districts.

Problems With Access to Care



Table 38. Percentage of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because They Could Not Find Services in Their Area
by Sex, Age Group, Local Health District, Annual Household Income, Health Status, and Health Insurance Coverage, Utah Residents, 2004

Demographic Subgroup	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because They Could Not Find Services in Their Area				
	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons ¹	Percentage of Persons ²			Number of Persons ^{3,4}	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup ⁴
			Confidence Bounds				
			Lower	Upper			
2004 Utah Population	100.0%	2,469,230	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%
Sex							
Male	50.4%	1,244,302	2.2%	1.7%	2.9%	27,700	35.0%
Female	49.6%	1,224,928	4.2%	3.5%	5.1%	51,500	65.0%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%
Age Group							
Under 1 Year Old	2.0%	50,515	***	***	***	***	***
1 to 5	9.7%	238,550	1.0%	0.5%	1.9%	2,400	3.1%
6 to 18	21.1%	520,800	2.1%	1.4%	3.2%	11,000	14.0%
19 to 26	15.0%	369,311	3.7%	2.3%	5.8%	13,700	17.5%
27 to 34	12.8%	316,947	5.5%	4.0%	7.5%	17,400	22.2%
35 to 49	18.5%	457,324	4.3%	3.3%	5.7%	19,800	25.3%
50 to 64	12.5%	307,863	3.0%	2.1%	4.1%	9,100	11.6%
65 and Over	8.4%	207,920	2.3%	1.4%	3.9%	4,900	6.3%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%
Local Health District							
Bear River	5.9%	146,905	2.1%	1.0%	4.4%	3,200	4.0%
Central	2.8%	70,295	7.6%	5.1%	11.1%	5,300	6.7%
Davis	10.9%	268,916	1.8%	1.0%	3.1%	4,800	6.1%
Salt Lake	38.7%	955,166	2.2%	1.5%	3.3%	21,400	27.0%
Southeastern	2.1%	52,842	10.8%	7.7%	15.0%	5,700	7.2%
Southwest	7.0%	173,230	5.1%	3.2%	8.1%	8,900	11.2%
Summit	1.4%	35,090	5.2%	3.0%	8.8%	1,800	2.3%
Tooele	2.0%	50,075	8.7%	6.2%	12.1%	4,400	5.6%
TriCounty	1.7%	42,111	6.5%	4.5%	9.3%	2,700	3.4%
Utah County	17.7%	437,627	4.4%	2.7%	6.9%	19,200	24.2%
Wasatch	0.8%	19,177	2.3%	1.1%	5.0%	400	0.5%
Weber-Morgan	8.8%	217,796	***	***	***	***	***
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%
Annual Household Income							
Under \$20,000	10.4%	257,400	7.3%	5.3%	10.0%	18,800	23.2%
\$20,000 to <\$45,000	28.8%	712,200	3.8%	2.8%	5.1%	27,000	33.3%
\$45,000 to <\$65,000	23.3%	574,600	3.3%	2.2%	4.9%	19,000	23.5%
\$65,000 and Over	37.5%	925,000	1.8%	1.1%	2.9%	16,200	20.0%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%
Health Status							
Fair/Poor	9.4%	231,600	7.9%	5.9%	10.7%	18,400	23.3%
Good/Excellent	90.6%	2,237,600	2.7%	2.2%	3.3%	60,600	76.7%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%
Health Insurance Coverage							
Insured	89.8%	2,217,800	3.0%	2.5%	3.7%	67,400	86.0%
Uninsured	10.2%	251,500	4.4%	2.8%	6.9%	11,000	14.0%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	3.2%	2.7%	3.8%	79,200	100.0%

1 Population estimates of sex, age groups, and local health district based on 2004 Baseline projections, Governor's Office of Planning and Budget, REMI model system; all others based on the 2004 UHSS and rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

2 Asymmetric confidence bounds were calculated using the logit transformation.


3 Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

4 Figures in these columns may not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

*** Insufficient sample size for calculation of population estimates.



Figure 39-40.1 Access Problems Due to Cost by Household Income, Utah, 2004



Source: 2004 Utah Health Status Survey

- Problems accessing care due to the cost of services and cost of prescriptions decreased as annual household income increased.
- Persons with fair or poor health were more than twice as likely to report access problems due to cost of services than persons with good or excellent health (25.7% and 12.0% respectively).

Problems With Access to Care



Table 39. Percentage of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because They Could Not Afford the Services
by Sex, Age Group, Local Health District, Annual Household Income, Health Status, and Health Insurance Coverage, Utah Residents, 2004

Demographic Subgroup	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because They Could Not Afford the Services				
	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons ¹	Percentage of Persons ²			Number of Persons ^{3,4}	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup ⁴
			Confidence Bounds				
			Lower	Upper			
2004 Utah Population	100.0%	2,469,230	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%
Sex							
Male	50.4%	1,244,302	11.6%	10.3%	13.0%	144,100	43.9%
Female	49.6%	1,224,928	15.0%	13.7%	16.5%	184,300	56.1%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%
Age Group							
Under 1 Year Old	2.0%	50,515	3.0%	1.0%	8.4%	1,500	0.5%
1 to 5	9.7%	238,550	5.1%	3.5%	7.5%	12,300	3.7%
6 to 18	21.1%	520,800	7.1%	5.6%	9.1%	37,200	11.3%
19 to 26	15.0%	369,311	19.8%	16.6%	23.4%	73,100	22.2%
27 to 34	12.8%	316,947	19.4%	16.3%	22.9%	61,400	18.6%
35 to 49	18.5%	457,324	19.6%	17.0%	22.5%	89,600	27.2%
50 to 64	12.5%	307,863	12.4%	10.4%	14.8%	38,200	11.6%
65 and Over	8.4%	207,920	8.1%	6.0%	10.8%	16,700	5.1%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%
Local Health District							
Bear River	5.9%	146,905	14.9%	11.6%	19.0%	21,900	6.7%
Central	2.8%	70,295	14.6%	10.9%	19.2%	10,200	3.1%
Davis	10.9%	268,916	8.6%	6.2%	11.7%	23,100	7.0%
Salt Lake	38.7%	955,166	12.6%	10.6%	14.8%	120,100	36.6%
Southeastern	2.1%	52,842	13.9%	10.5%	18.2%	7,300	2.2%
Southwest	7.0%	173,230	20.9%	16.0%	26.9%	36,300	11.1%
Summit	1.4%	35,090	12.4%	8.4%	17.9%	4,300	1.3%
Tooele	2.0%	50,075	16.1%	12.3%	20.9%	8,100	2.5%
TriCounty	1.7%	42,111	16.2%	12.6%	20.5%	6,800	2.1%
Utah County	17.7%	437,627	15.7%	12.5%	19.5%	68,700	20.9%
Wasatch	0.8%	19,177	18.0%	13.0%	24.3%	3,400	1.0%
Weber-Morgan	8.8%	217,796	8.3%	5.7%	11.9%	18,100	5.5%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%
Annual Household Income							
Under \$20,000	10.4%	257,400	27.1%	22.8%	31.9%	69,800	20.8%
\$20,000 to <\$45,000	28.8%	712,200	18.7%	16.0%	21.6%	132,900	39.6%
\$45,000 to <\$65,000	23.3%	574,600	12.3%	9.8%	15.2%	70,500	21.0%
\$65,000 and Over	37.5%	925,000	6.7%	5.4%	8.4%	62,400	18.6%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%
Health Status							
Fair/Poor	9.4%	231,600	25.7%	22.0%	29.8%	59,500	18.1%
Good/Excellent	90.6%	2,237,600	12.0%	10.9%	13.3%	269,500	81.9%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%
Health Insurance Coverage							
Insured	89.8%	2,217,800	10.4%	9.3%	11.6%	230,600	69.9%
Uninsured	10.2%	251,500	39.5%	33.9%	45.4%	99,300	30.1%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	13.3%	12.1%	14.6%	328,500	100.0%

1 Population estimates of sex, age groups, and local health district based on 2004 Baseline projections, Governor's Office of Planning and Budget, REMI model system; all others based on the 2004 UHSS and rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

2 Asymmetric confidence bounds were calculated using the logit transformation.

3 Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

4 Figures in these columns may not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

Problems With Access to Care



Table 40. Percentage of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because Prescriptions Cost Too Much

by Sex, Age Group, Local Health District, Annual Household Income, Health Status, and Health Insurance Coverage, Utah Residents, 2004

Demographic Subgroup	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because Prescriptions Cost Too Much				
	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons ¹	Percentage of Persons ²			Number of Persons ^{3,4}	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup ⁴
			Confidence Bounds				
			Lower	Upper			
2004 Utah Population	100.0%	2,469,230	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%
Sex							
Male	50.4%	1,244,302	6.4%	5.4%	7.5%	79,000	37.4%
Female	49.6%	1,224,928	10.8%	9.6%	12.1%	132,100	62.6%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%
Age Group							
Under 1 Year Old	2.0%	50,515	***	***	***	***	***
1 to 5	9.7%	238,550	2.8%	1.7%	4.8%	6,800	3.1%
6 to 18	21.1%	520,800	2.8%	2.0%	4.0%	14,800	6.8%
19 to 26	15.0%	369,311	13.9%	11.2%	17.2%	51,400	23.8%
27 to 34	12.8%	316,947	9.5%	7.4%	12.1%	30,000	13.9%
35 to 49	18.5%	457,324	12.8%	10.8%	15.2%	58,700	27.2%
50 to 64	12.5%	307,863	9.4%	7.6%	11.6%	28,900	13.4%
65 and Over	8.4%	207,920	11.9%	9.2%	15.2%	24,700	11.4%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%
Local Health District							
Bear River	5.9%	146,905	5.9%	4.0%	8.5%	8,600	4.1%
Central	2.8%	70,295	10.4%	7.2%	14.8%	7,300	3.5%
Davis	10.9%	268,916	7.6%	5.5%	10.4%	20,500	9.7%
Salt Lake	38.7%	955,166	7.9%	6.4%	9.7%	75,300	35.7%
Southeastern	2.1%	52,842	8.0%	5.9%	10.9%	4,300	2.0%
Southwest	7.0%	173,230	12.7%	9.4%	17.0%	22,100	10.5%
Summit	1.4%	35,090	5.5%	3.5%	8.6%	1,900	0.9%
Tooele	2.0%	50,075	13.0%	9.9%	17.0%	6,500	3.1%
TriCounty	1.7%	42,111	7.6%	5.6%	10.3%	3,200	1.5%
Utah County	17.7%	437,627	10.1%	7.8%	13.1%	44,300	21.0%
Wasatch	0.8%	19,177	8.3%	5.4%	12.6%	1,600	0.8%
Weber-Morgan	8.8%	217,796	7.1%	4.5%	10.9%	15,400	7.3%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%
Annual Household Income							
Under \$20,000	10.4%	257,400	19.3%	15.5%	23.8%	49,700	23.2%
\$20,000 to <\$45,000	28.8%	712,200	13.5%	11.2%	16.2%	96,100	44.8%
\$45,000 to <\$65,000	23.3%	574,600	6.0%	4.6%	7.8%	34,600	16.1%
\$65,000 and Over	37.5%	925,000	3.7%	2.8%	4.7%	34,000	15.9%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%
Health Status							
Fair/Poor	9.4%	231,600	22.8%	19.3%	26.7%	52,700	25.0%
Good/Excellent	90.6%	2,237,600	7.1%	6.2%	8.0%	158,000	75.0%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%
Health Insurance Coverage							
Insured	89.8%	2,217,800	6.9%	6.1%	7.8%	152,900	71.5%
Uninsured	10.2%	251,500	24.2%	19.9%	29.2%	60,900	28.5%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	8.5%	7.6%	9.5%	211,100	100.0%

1 Population estimates of sex, age groups, and local health district based on 2004 Baseline projections, Governor's Office of Planning and Budget, REMI model system; all others based on the 2004 UHSS and rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

2 Asymmetric confidence bounds were calculated using the logit transformation.

3 Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

4 Figures in these columns may not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

*** Insufficient sample size for calculation of population estimates.



Table 41. Percentage of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because They Were Concerned About VISA, Citizenship, or Residency Status
by Sex, Age Group, Local Health District, Annual Household Income, Health Status, and Health Insurance Coverage, Utah Residents, 2004

Demographic Subgroup	Population Size		Survey Estimates of Persons Reporting Problems With Access to Health Care Because They Were Concerned About VISA, Citizenship, or Residency Status				
	Percentage Distribution	Number of Persons ¹	Percentage of Persons ²			Number of Persons ^{3,4}	Percentage Distribution by Demographic Subgroup ⁴
			Confidence Bounds				
			Lower	Upper			
2004 Utah Population	100.0%	2,469,230	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%
Sex							
Male	50.4%	1,244,302	0.4%	0.2%	0.8%	4,800	68.6%
Female	49.6%	1,224,928	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	2,200	31.4%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%
Age Group							
Under 1 Year Old	2.0%	50,515	***	***	***	***	***
1 to 5	9.7%	238,550	***	***	***	***	***
6 to 18	21.1%	520,800	0.2%	0.1%	0.7%	1,100	15.1%
19 to 26	15.0%	369,311	0.6%	0.2%	1.8%	2,400	32.9%
27 to 34	12.8%	316,947	0.5%	0.1%	1.9%	1,500	20.5%
35 to 49	18.5%	457,324	0.4%	0.1%	1.0%	1,700	23.3%
50 to 64	12.5%	307,863	***	***	***	***	***
65 and Over	8.4%	207,920	***	***	***	***	***
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%
Local Health District							
Bear River	5.9%	146,905	***	***	***	***	***
Central	2.8%	70,295	***	***	***	***	***
Davis	10.9%	268,916	***	***	***	***	***
Salt Lake	38.7%	955,166	0.3%	0.1%	0.7%	2,700	39.7%
Southeastern	2.1%	52,842	***	***	***	***	***
Southwest	7.0%	173,230	***	***	***	***	***
Summit	1.4%	35,090	***	***	***	***	***
Tooele	2.0%	50,075	0.9%	0.1%	5.9%	400	5.9%
TriCounty	1.7%	42,111	***	***	***	***	***
Utah County	17.7%	437,627	0.7%	0.2%	2.5%	3,100	45.6%
Wasatch	0.8%	19,177	***	***	***	***	***
Weber-Morgan	8.8%	217,796	***	***	***	***	***
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,230	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%
Annual Household Income							
Under \$20,000	10.4%	257,400	***	***	***	***	***
\$20,000 to <\$45,000	28.8%	712,200	0.6%	0.2%	1.7%	4,500	62.5%
\$45,000 to <\$65,000	23.3%	574,600	***	***	***	***	***
\$65,000 and Over	37.5%	925,000	***	***	***	***	***
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%
Health Status							
Fair/Poor	9.4%	231,600	1.0%	0.4%	2.4%	2,300	32.9%
Good/Excellent	90.6%	2,237,600	0.2%	0.1%	0.4%	4,700	67.1%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%
Health Insurance Coverage							
Insured	89.8%	2,217,800	0.1%	0.0%	0.3%	2,500	36.8%
Uninsured	10.2%	251,500	1.7%	0.6%	4.6%	4,300	63.2%
Total, All Utahns	100.0%	2,469,200	0.3%	0.1%	0.6%	7,000	100.0%

1 Population estimates of sex, age groups, and local health district based on 2004 Baseline projections, Governor's Office of Planning and Budget, REMI model system; all others based on the 2004 UHSS and rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

2 Asymmetric confidence bounds were calculated using the logit transformation.

3 Rounded to the nearest 100 persons.

4 Figures in these columns may not sum to the total because of missing values on the grouping variables.

*** Insufficient sample size for calculation of population estimates.